Previous references.
L.R. 36 of 1890-91

190 .
(Rev: Mis.)

28-91.
File No. ~~89 of 92-93~~
Serial Nos. 1, 2, 6 & 11

Later references.
~~R.H. 81 of 1892-93~~
Sls 7, 9 of this F.B.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 6 & 11 (10)

Part B. ~~**for**~~

SUBJECT.

Additional Sum of Rs 225-9-. sanctioned as compensation for lands taken up in the Bangalore

Condemned

Indexed A.S.

1. From the D.C. Bangalore No. D. 259 d/ the 8.6.92
Reports that the Inamdars referred to in Pros No. $\frac{6705-7}{198}$ of 6.9.91 have consented to accept full compensation and Appaji gowda also consents to receive the compensation offered and therefore requests the sanction for the payment of Rs 225-9-.

✓ 2. To the D.C. Bangalore No. $\frac{2129}{R.7.89-92}$ d/ the $\frac{9}{12}$.8.92
Points out certain discrepancies in the bill and requests a detailed statement showing the amount payable to each with a report as to the disposition of the money payable on the Devadayam land

6. From the Dy Commr Bangalore d/ the $\frac{22}{24}$.10.92
Submits his report and the Statement called for

11. To the D.C. Bangalore, the Comptroller and the Joint Secry for Railways No. $\frac{8367-9}{R.7.89-92}$ d/ the $\frac{13}{15}$.12.92
Sanctions the payment of the additional sum of Rs 225-9-, and declines the Dy Commrs proposal to acquire the adjacent lands to be added on to the Inams instead of the small pieces of Inams acquired for Ry purposes and directs the payment of Rs 10-8-- as compensation to the

Serial No 1

(General Serial No. 30.)

LR

28-91

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE 9 JUL 92

2971
7/157

1892.

Acct Branch
[illegible] 9/6

Recd
17-6-92

Draft Sub
No 92
2/8/92

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

~~15~~

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 8th June 1892

Despatched ✓

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

D No. 259

[illegible] 22/8/92

Reminder to the same No. 3351 8/8/92

Referring to Government Proceedings No. 6705-7/198 of 6th September 1891, regarding the payment of compensation for lands taken up for Bangalore Hindupur Railway has the honor to report that the Inamdars referred to in para 4 are willing to accept full compensation and that Appaji Gowda of Kodagihalli is also willing to receive the compensation offered to him, and to request

A
17-6-92

therefore that sanction may be accorded for a further sum of Rs: 225-9- for payment to the four Inamdars and Appaji Gowda of Kodagéhalli

2. The case of Sungeeva-naik, which has been referred to the Civil Court for adjudication, is pending disposal, and the matter will be reported in due course.

3. The other individual Krishnengar, will be referred to the Civil Court

M Laing Meason

for Dy Commissioner

~~Serial~~
Draft

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

18

LR

28 ~~84~~ 2 91/20 The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

Dated: ~~9~~ 12 August 1892

No 2127
R. 7 89-92.

His letter No 259 dated 8th June/92, reporting that the 4 Inamdars alluded to in para 4 of Govt Pro: No 6705-7/198 of the 6th September/91 are willing to accept ~~fully~~ the amount of compensation ~~in lieu of~~ awarded in full satisfaction of their claim for their Inamlands ~~proposed to be allotted to them~~ taken up for the Hindupur Railway & that Appaji Gowda of Kodigehalli is also willing to receive the compensation offered to him, & requesting sanction for a further sum of Rs 225-9-. for payment to these individuals

---

With reference to the

above, observes ~~that the~~ amount of compensation payable to the Inamdars ~~and~~ as well as Appaji Gowda ~~being Rs~~ as originally recommended being Rs ~~[illegible]~~ 205-9-0 as shewn in the margin[×], in addition to the amount already ~~paid~~ sanctioned to the Inamdars, it is not understood why the sum of Rs 225-9- is now proposed to be paid to them. Requests ~~therefore~~ that a detailed statement shewing the amount payable to each of the individuals above referred to may be submitted with a report as to how the money payable for the Devadayam land concerned in case No 81 is proposed to be disposed of ~~invested~~.

"By order."

9/8/92
Under Secty.

×	Rs.	as	P.
Case No 61	5	0	0
Do 81	4	8	0
Do 119	4	12	0
Do 123	0	12	0
Do 52 Appaji Gowda			
For land	166×	8	0
For trees & well	24	1	0
Total	205	9	0

× How has this been arrived a[t] a. g. 2-31 of land were taken up in this case and at Rs 60 per acre the compensation sh'd am'nt to Rs 167-8-0.
5/8

The compensation in this case amounts to Rs 166-8 the figures have been corrected accordingly.

13 LR 28-41 5

D. No: 350.

Enclosure

sel 906

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
22nd October 1892
24th

To. The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

S

With reference to your docket no: 2129/R.J 89-92 of the 9/12th August/92 requesting ~~to be furnished~~ with a detailed statement showing the amount payable to each of the individuals referred to in this office letter no: 259 of the 8th June last, as compensation for lands taken up for the Bangalore Hindupur Railway. I have the honor to submit herewith the required statement

Statement. It will be seen therefrom, that Rs 20 due to Appaji Gowda for an anicut constructed by him on his land, was, by a clerical error omitted in the Statement submitted with this office No: 416 of the 17th August 1891 Thus, the amount really payable to Appaji Gowda is Rs 210-9-4, as per details shown in the accompanying Statement and this, added to Rs 15 payable to the 4 individuals referred to in para IV of Government Proceedings No: $\frac{6705-7}{198}$ of the 6th September 1891 for the remaining half of their lands which they are willing to part with, gives the total of Rs 225-9-4 for which the Sanction of Government was asked in D 20259 of the 8th June last

2- Appaji Gowda's number in the list is 51 and not 61 as mentioned in docket under reply and in Proceedings No: 6705-7/198, above referred to.

3. The Compensation proposed for the Devadayam land (number 81) and the Talwar Service Inam (number 123) should, I think, be utilised in acquiring bits of adjacent land to be added on to the Inams. If the Government approve of it, I shall utilise the money accordingly

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

$\frac{8367-9}{R.7\ 89-92}$ D/$\frac{13}{15}$-12-92

Read again.

Government Pro No. $\frac{6705-7}{198}$ dated 6th September 1891 sanctioning the payment of a compensation of Rs 8,636-3-9 for certain lands taken up in the Bangalore Taluk for the Bangalore-Hindupur Railway

also— Correspondence ending with letter No 350 dated 22nd October 1892 from the Deputy Commr of the Bangalore District, Soliciting sanction to the payment of an additional Sum of Rs 225-9-0 to the marginally noted× Inamdars to whom only half the amount of compensation had been sanctioned

1 on the
ginal list. Ramaiya-Shanbhog.
— Gopalaswami Devam
— Shanbhog Puttappa.
— Talwar Hanumma.

and

and to Appaji Gowda of Kodagihalli who was first unwilling to receive the amount of compensation offered to him.

Order thereon.—

Under the circumstances now represented, the payment of the additional sum of Rs 225-9-. recommended by the Deputy Commissioner is sanctioned.

2 Compared with the total extent of Inam lands appertaining to the marginally noted cases, the area taken up for Railway purposes is very small, and Govt are not therefore

No 81.
Gopalaswami Devaru.

	Total Extent of Inam.	Extent taken up
	ac. g. yds.	as. g. yds.
Dry.	6—1—.	.—9—.
No 123 Talwar Hanuma. wet.	1—5—.	.—3—.

prepared to sanction the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation for the acquisition of the adjacent lands to be added on to the Inams. The amount of compensation involved in these cases being ~~[illegible]~~ R 10-8-0 the same may be paid to the Inamdars concerned.

Case
81. R 9-0-0
o 123 1-8-0

3. With respect to ~~The~~ the Deputy Commissioner's ~~as~~ contained in para 2 of his letter remark that Appaji gowda's Number in the list is 51 and not 61 as mentioned in the marginally noted references made by this Office ~~is apparently incorrect. He will see that by a careful study of these references~~ it is observed that Appaji Gowda's ~~name~~ case is ~~has~~ ~~correctly~~ referred to ~~has not been connected~~ as No. 52, not 61 as mentioned ~~with No 61 and while~~ by him - ~~accordingly~~

o: No 6705-7/198 dt 6th Septr/91.
Let No 2129/R.7. 89-92 dt 9th August/92.

Comr's letter No 350 d 22^d. Octr/92, the case of Shanbogue Ramaiya is erroneously entered as No 60 ~~[illegible]~~ instead of No 61 as per original lists.– These errors are brought to the Dy Comr's notice with a request that more care may be insisted upon on the part of the officials in his office.–

~~the part of the officials in his office. We have again Shanbhogue Ramaiya entered as 60 instead of 61 as per original list.~~

Chief Secretary

A. B.

To the Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.
Comptroller ~~with copy of Statement~~
~~Joint Secty for Railways~~
~~with do~~
~~Survey Supdt with do~~

Dy [illegible] Secy to Govt

10/11

Sanctions the writing off of a total of Rs 2816-1-9
provisionally every year till the revised akarbands
are received from the Survey Dept.

para 1891-92 to be remitted in the

doopur Rail road pending the rectification of

nding the Khata being struck off —

ticulars of lands taken up for lway Road		Amount			Total amount to be remitted
		Assess-	Cess	Total	